



Policies for tackling obesity and creating healthier food environments

**Scorecard and
recommended actions
for the South Australian
Government**

May 2023

www.foodpolicyindex.org.au

SA

Policies for tackling obesity and creating healthier food environments: scorecard and priority recommendations for the South Australian Government, May 2023.

Sacks G, Mann D.

Published by Deakin University. 2023.

ISBN: 978-1-74156-859-2

© Copyright Deakin University 2023. All Rights Reserved.

Cite this report as: Sacks G, Mann D. Policies for tackling obesity and creating healthier food environments: scorecard and priority recommendations for the South Australian Government, May 2023. Melbourne: Deakin University, 2023.

Acknowledgements

This report forms part of the Food Policy Index (Food-EPI) Australia initiative conducted by researchers within the Global Centre for Preventive Health and Nutrition (GLOBE) in the Institute for Health Transformation (IHT) at Deakin University.

The Food-EPI Australia initiative forms part of INFORMAS (International Network for Food and Obesity / NCDs Research, Monitoring, Action and Support), a global network focused on monitoring and benchmarking the healthiness of food environments globally.

The researchers would like to thank the public health experts and government officials across Australia that contributed their time and expertise to the Food-EPI Australia initiative.

Graphic design: Adele del Signore

Funding declaration

Gary Sacks is a recipient of a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Emerging Leadership Fellowship (2021/GNT2008535), and is supported by a Heart Foundation Future Leader Fellowship (102035) from the National Heart Foundation of Australia. This research was conducted as part of a NHMRC Centre of Research Excellence in Food Retail Environments for Health (RE-FRESH) (2018/GNT1152968).

The contents of this published material are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not reflect the views of the NHMRC or funding partners. The research was approved by the Human Ethics Advisory Group of the Faculty of Health at Deakin University, project number HEAG-H 162_2015.

For more details of the Food-EPI Australia initiative go to:
www.foodpolicyindex.org.au

Executive summary

Unhealthy diets and obesity are leading contributors to poor health in Australia.

A comprehensive government response is needed to improve population diets and create healthier food environments.

- A whole-of-government multi-sectoral approach is needed, driven by strong leadership.
- A coherent policy response needs to result in substantial reform of food systems, address food security, improve equity and consider environmental sustainability.
- The Food-EPI Australia initiative, first developed and implemented in 2017, aims to benchmark Australian governments (Commonwealth and States / Territories) on their implementation of globally recommended policies for improving population diets and creating healthier food environments.
- This report presents results from the second full assessment of Australian governments.
- The project team worked closely with government officials to document current policy actions (up to 30 June 2021) in each jurisdiction, across over 50 policy areas. The extent of implementation in each policy area was assessed for each jurisdiction, with reference to international best practice benchmarks. Policy recommendations were then developed for each jurisdiction, prioritised based on their relative importance and feasibility.
- **Eighty-four experts from 37 organisations participated in the assessment process** and the prioritisation of recommendations.

South Australian (SA) Government

Areas of good progress



The SA State Public Health Plan 2019 to 2024 and the Wellbeing SA Strategic Plan 2020-2025 have a strong focus on delivering better public health services to the community



Continuing implementation of a health-in-all-policies approach through the use of Public Health Partner Authority (PHPA) Agreements that provide opportunity for collaborative action



Establishment of Wellbeing SA in January 2020 to take action to reduce the preventable burden of disease and injury and promote health and wellbeing



Continued investment in community grants programs that support the wellbeing of children and their families with a focus on South Australian regional and rural towns

Top 3



Priority policy recommendations for SA (2023-2025)

1

Restrict all advertising for unhealthy food and beverages (and related brands) in publicly-owned or managed settings, including public transport infrastructure, public spaces, and within 500m of schools

2

Mandate implementation of the Right Bite Healthy Food and Drink Supply Standards in schools and the **SA Health Healthy Food and Drink Policy**, and provide resources and implementation supports, with effective monitoring, robust evaluation and public reporting of progress

3

Implement policies to **restrict the marketing of unhealthy food in retail outlets** (such as supermarkets), including requirements for healthy checkouts and restrictions on temporary price reductions / promotions on unhealthy foods and drinks, coupled with policies to increase in-store marketing of healthy foods and drinks

These actions are recommended as part of a comprehensive and coherent policy response.

Unhealthy diets and obesity are a public health crisis in Australia

- Unhealthy diets and obesity are leading contributors to poor health in Australia, and have significant impacts on individuals, communities, the health-care system and the economy.¹
- Almost 2 out of 3 (63%) Australian adults, and 1 in 4 (25%) Australian children are overweight or obese.² Few people in Australia consume a healthy diet consistent with the Australian Dietary Guidelines.³
- There is widespread recognition that unhealthy diets and obesity are driven by food environments in which unhealthy foods and drinks are readily available, heavily promoted, and often relatively cheap.⁴

Comprehensive government policy action is needed to improve population diets and create healthier food environments

There is expert consensus globally on the range of policy actions that are required to address unhealthy diets and obesity.⁵

- A comprehensive policy response needs to result in substantial reform of food systems, and incorporate measures to address food security, improve equity and consider environmental sustainability.
- A coherent whole-of-government approach is needed, driven by strong leadership, and including action in the areas of health, education, finance, sport and recreation, and several other sectors. Due to inherent conflicts of interest, policy development processes need to be free from food industry influence.
- While the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the importance of co-ordinated preventive health action, it has also diverted resources away from efforts to address key drivers of chronic disease.
- In Australia, the **National Preventive Health Strategy** (2021-2030) and the **National Obesity Strategy** (2022-2032) provide clear frameworks for action. However, there is currently a lack of comprehensive action and coherence across jurisdictions.



What's needed now is a **strong focus on implementation**

¹ Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2021. Australian Burden of Disease Study (2018); Colagiuri et al. MJA 2010

² Australian Bureau of Statistics 2018. Overweight and obesity, Australia

³ Australian Bureau of Statistics. 4364.0.55.007 – Australian Health Survey: Nutrition First Results – Foods and Nutrients, 2011-12: Discretionary foods Australian Government; 2015

⁴ Swinburn et al. Lancet 2011

⁵ Swinburn et al. Lancet 2019

Food Policy Index (Food-EPI) Australia

- The Food Policy Index (Food-EPI) Australia initiative is an ongoing process to benchmark Australian governments (Commonwealth and States / Territories) on their progress in implementing globally recommended policies to improve population diets and address obesity. The goal of the initiative is help facilitate implementation of a comprehensive and cohesive set of actions.
- The Food-EPI Australia initiative, first implemented in Australia in 2017, is based on the Food-EPI tool that was developed by INFORMAS (www.informas.org) and applied in 25+ countries.
- The Food-EPI tool covers key globally recommended policies, including: **1) policy actions** targeting specific aspects of food environments (such as food composition, labelling, promotion, prices and provision) that have been shown to have an important impact on population diets and obesity, and **2) infrastructure support** (including leadership, governance, monitoring and funding) that helps facilitate effective policy implementation.
- In each policy area, the extent of government implementation is assessed against best practice benchmarks, with reference to international and national examples of good practice (see Appendix).



Process for assessing extent of policy implementation in Australia



* Detailed summaries of each government's policy details are available at www.foodpolicyindex.org.au

** Panel members included academics, nutritionists, and senior representatives from health NGOs and community groups with expertise in population health, nutrition and / or obesity prevention


*** Assessment was conducted with reference to global best practice statements, as identified by INFORMAS, taking into account policy characteristics and the extent of policy implementation. The Commonwealth Government and each State/Territory were assessed separately; however, in some policy areas, policy development involves collaboration between the Commonwealth Government and State/Territory governments, and implementation of national guidance and policy is at the discretion of each jurisdiction

**** As part of the prioritisation process, priority recommendations for action in the short-term (next three years) were identified for each jurisdiction, taking into account the relative importance and feasibility of each proposed action, as part of a comprehensive and coherent policy response

Scorecard for South Australia 2022



Assessment of level of implementation of key policies for tackling obesity and creating healthier food environments



Category	Policy	Implementation Level
Food labelling	Menu labelling	High
Food promotion	Restrict exposure of children to promotion of unhealthy food in public settings	Low
	Restrict the promotion of unhealthy foods related to sport	Very little, if any
Food provision	Healthy food provision in schools	Medium
	Healthy food provision in health services (visitors and staff)	Medium
	Healthy food provision in care settings (resident/in-patient food provision)	Medium
	Healthy food provision in public sector workplaces	Low
	Healthy food provision in community settings	Medium
	Support and training systems for public sector settings	Medium
Food retail	Planning policies: restrict unhealthy food retail outlets	Very little, if any
	Planning policies: support healthy food retail outlets	Low
	Remote retail store availability of healthy and unhealthy food	Very little, if any
	Availability of healthy foods in food service outlets	Medium
	Restriction of marketing of unhealthy food in retail outlets	Very little, if any
Leadership	Strong, visible, political support for population nutrition	Low
	Government strategy and related implementation plan for addressing nutrition and obesity	High
Governance	Restricting commercial influence on policy development	Medium
	Transparency in the development of food and nutrition policies	Medium
	Assessing the potential health impacts of all policies	High
	Government workforce to support public health nutrition	Low
	Independent health promotion agency	High
Monitoring & intelligence	Monitoring population nutrition intake	Medium
	Monitoring population body weight	Medium
	Evaluation of major nutrition-related programs and policies	Medium
	Research funding for obesity and NCD prevention	Medium
Support for communities	Coordinated support for community-based interventions	Medium
	Social marketing campaigns	Medium
	Food and nutrition in education curricula	High

Recommended actions for the South Australian Government

The following set of actions are recommended for the SA Government as part of a comprehensive and coherent strategy to improve population diets and create healthy food environments. Priority recommendations for action in the short-term (2023-2025) are highlighted.

Policy area	Recommended policy action
Healthy food marketing	Restrict all advertising for unhealthy food and beverages (and related brands) in publicly-owned or managed settings, including public transport infrastructure, public spaces, and within 500m of schools
	Require all organisations, such as community groups and sports clubs, that receive funding from the South Australian government to restrict all promotion and sponsorship related to unhealthy food and beverages (and related brands) as a condition of receiving funding
	Restrict the promotion of unhealthy foods within community and elite sport in South Australia
Healthy food procurement and provision in key settings	Mandate implementation of the <i>Right Bite Healthy Food and Drink Supply Standards</i> in schools and the <i>SA Health Healthy Food and Drink Policy</i> , and provide resources and implementation supports through the Healthy Food Environments Hub, with effective monitoring, robust evaluation and public reporting of progress
	Prioritise young children’s nutrition and their development of lifelong healthy food preferences by providing support and resources to all early childhood education and care (ECEC) services, including effective monitoring and robust evaluation of progress
	Ensure healthy and environmentally sustainable food procurement and provision in public sector workplaces, including by implementing, monitoring and evaluating the <i>Healthy Workplaces Advisory Service</i>
	Develop and implement healthy and environmentally sustainable food provision guidelines policies for community settings and events, including sport and recreation facilities, parks and community events (government-owned, funded or managed)
Healthy food retail	Explore relevant planning policy mechanisms to increase access to healthy food retail outlets and limit access to unhealthy food retail outlets, particularly in areas of disadvantage
	Implement policies to restrict the marketing of unhealthy food in retail outlets (such as supermarkets), including requirements for healthy checkouts and restrictions on temporary price reductions / promotions on unhealthy foods and drinks, coupled with policies to increase in-store marketing of healthy foods and drinks
	Develop and implement programs, including incentives or accreditation schemes, for food service outlets, including restaurants, pubs and clubs, to improve the healthiness of their menu options and the way in which healthy options are promoted, potentially through the adaptation of the <i>Healthy Kids Menus Initiative</i>
	Support efforts to establish a national licensing and accreditation scheme for healthy food retail in remote Indigenous communities, building on the National Indigenous Australians Agency (NIAA) Community Stores Licensing Scheme, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mechanisms for Indigenous-led governance • Adequate resources, through a well-trained workforce and links to an incentivised funding scheme for store infrastructure • Monitoring and benchmarking systems, with performance indicators and transparency in processes and outcomes, with a focus on continuous improvement and accountability
	Increase the supply of affordable healthy food for rural and remote communities, through subsidies and/or other funding mechanisms

Recommended actions for the South Australian Government

Policy area	Recommended policy action
Leadership	Demonstrate strong political commitment, at the Head of State level, to promoting health and wellbeing by prioritisation of actions to improve food environments and population diets
	Apply a whole-of-government approach to implementation of the <i>SA State Public Health Plan</i> , including representation and accountability from each department, long-term funding commitment to achieve sustained outcomes, explicit focus on vulnerable populations and ensuring access to services, and ongoing monitoring and progress reporting
Workforce	Increase the capacity (number of staff and their capabilities) of the government to undertake actions related to health promotion and public health nutrition, including consideration of diversity and a focus on Indigenous peoples, with increased focus on collaboration and capacity building across all government department and agencies
Political lobbying and industry influence	Make political lobbying transparent, including by modifying the government lobby register to require more detailed reporting of specific lobbying activities
	Reduce industry influence by placing limits on political donations, with real-time declaration
Monitoring, evaluation and learning	Support ongoing monitoring and review of the healthiness of food environments, including the exposure of children to marketing of unhealthy foods (and related brands), the nutritional quality of food available in schools, early childhood education and care (ECEC) services and public sector settings, and the price and affordability of healthy and unhealthy foods
	Include robust evaluation (including nutrition-related outcomes) in the design and routine review of nutrition-related programs and policies, with results made publicly available
Support for communities	Support effective community-led programs to improve food systems, food security and nutrition, through provision of funding mechanisms and platforms for knowledge exchange, capacity building, and monitoring and evaluation
	Provide additional resources and funding to improve the availability of a nutritious food supply to food relief recipients, supporting implementation of the <i>South Australian Food Relief Charter</i> (voluntary) and associated nutrition guidelines
	Support the provision of comprehensive guidance and resources to educators for the inclusion of food and nutrition curricula in early childhood education and care (ECEC) services and all school years in a way that supports existing teaching priorities

Appendix: Examples of good practice

Domain	Policy area	International example of good practice (as identified by INFORMAS)	Leading State / Territory in Australia (as per assessments by Expert Panel as part of this initiative)
Food labelling	Menu labelling	South Korea: All fast-food outlets required to display detailed nutrition information (incl. energy, total sugars, protein, saturated fat and sodium) on menus	ACT/NSW/QLD/SA/VIC: Large fast-food chains required to display average energy content on menus and overall average daily energy intake
Food promotion	Restrict exposure of children to promotion of unhealthy food in public settings	Chile: Restricts advertising of unhealthy foods directed to children (under the age of 14 years) in various public settings	ACT/WA: ACT restricts the advertising of unhealthy food on government-run buses. WA restricts the advertisement of unhealthy food and beverages in health services and schools
	Restrict the promotion of unhealthy foods related to sport	Amsterdam: Sponsorship of sports events with >25% young people in attendance is not permitted by unhealthy food or drink manufacturers	VIC/WA: <i>VicHealth</i> and <i>Healthway</i> generally do not engage in any funding agreements with organisations with co-sponsors that promote unhealthy brands or messages
Food provision	Healthy food provision in schools	Chile: Regulatory norms define 'high' limits for energy, saturated fat, sugar and sodium content. Foods and beverages considered as 'high' in particular nutrients are prohibited from being sold in schools	NSW/WA: Six states and territories have implemented mandatory standards, which are either based on the national voluntary guidelines or nutrient and food criteria defined by the state. WA and NSW routinely monitor and report implementation and compliance
	Healthy food provision in health services (visitors and staff)	Wales: Vending machines dispensing chips, confectionery and sugary drinks are prohibited in National Health Service hospitals	NSW/WA: Most states/territories have implemented a policy regarding healthy food provision in retail outlets at health care facilities and/or are reviewing and updating current policies. NSW and WA conduct comprehensive monitoring and reporting on compliance
	Healthy food provision in care settings (resident/in-patient food provision)	New York City, USA: Mandatory nutritional standards for all food purchased/sold by city agencies (hospitals, prisons, aged care, health facilities)	ACT/NSW/NT/QLD/SA/VIC/WA: Nutritional standards must be met for accreditation in health services
	Healthy food provision in public sector workplaces	Brazil: Procurement guidelines for food served or sold for purchase in the Ministry of Health and its entities are based on the Food Guide for the Brazilian population	ACT/VIC: Policies and commitments to improve healthy food choices in all government workplaces and facilities
	Healthy food provision in community settings	The Netherlands: The Guidelines for Healthier Canteens covers canteens at schools, sports clubs and workplaces and provides guidelines for the level of a full range of food and drink being offered, together with the canteen's general display layout	SA/VIC: Policies, commitments, and funding to improve healthy food choices in community settings, including food relief
	Support and training systems for public sector settings	Japan: Mandatory oversight and monitoring by dietitian/nutritionist (incl. menu development) for all government facilities providing >250 meals/day	VIC: <i>Healthy Eating Advisory Service (HEAS)</i> provides a wide range of resources to support settings such as childcare centres, schools, health services, and sports centres to provide healthy foods and drinks in line with Victorian Government policies and guidelines

Appendix: Examples of good practice

Domain	Policy area	International example of good practice (as identified by INFORMAS)	Leading State / Territory in Australia (as per assessments by Expert Panel as part of this initiative)
Food retail	Planning policies: unhealthy food retail outlets	South Korea: ‘Green Food Zones’ around schools (200 metre radius) in which sales of ‘unhealthy’ foods are prohibited	QLD/TAS: Incorporates health as a key consideration as part of the Planning Act
	Planning policies: healthy food retail outlets	USA: Provision of grants for states to provide financial/other types of assistance to attract healthier retail outlets to underserved areas	ACT/NSW/QLD/SA: Provides detailed information and resources for local government on ways to promote healthy food choices through the built environment and encourage outlets that sell healthy food
	Remote retail store availability of healthy and unhealthy food	Canada: A subsidy programme helps provide populations in isolated communities with improved access to perishable, nutritious food. Eligibility is based on isolation factors and focuses on communities that lack year-round surface access	QLD: 18-month pilot project partnering with three Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Shire Councils to reduce sugary drink consumption underway. <i>Healthy Stores Project 2021-2024</i> works with communities and other sectors to improve food security, including a focus on improving in-store environments to support healthy food and drink behaviours
	Availability of foods in food service outlets	Singapore: Government partnership (‘Healthier Hawker’ program) with selected food vendors to improve healthiness of take-away food	ACT/QLD/SA/VIC: Programs and initiatives in place that provide resources and support to improve the nutritional quality of foods
	Restriction of marketing of unhealthy food in retail outlets	UK: Legislation introduced in 2020 (applicable to in-store and online retailers selling food and drink) restricts the promotion of pre-packed products that are high in fat, sugar and salt, for a specified list of food product categories, by location and volume price. Implementation currently delayed.	QLD: <i>Healthy Stores Project 2021-2024</i> works with communities and other sectors to improve food security, including a focus on improving in-store environments to support healthy food and drink behaviours
Leadership	Strong, visible, political support for population nutrition	New York City, USA: From 2002-2013, Mayor (Michael Bloomberg) showed strong political leadership in introducing landmark food policies, including restrictions on trans-fat and portion size restrictions on sugary-drinks	QLD/WA: Commitments from the WA Premier and QLD Health minister to improve food environments
	Comprehensive implementation plan linked to state/national needs	Ireland: ‘A Healthy Weight for Ireland, the Obesity Policy and Action Plan 2016-2025’ (OPAP) prescribes ‘Ten Steps Forward’ that should be taken to reverse obesity trends, prevent health complications and reduce the overall burden	ACT/QLD/SA/VIC/WA: State-wide health and wellbeing plans, prevention strategies and action plans with objectives to improve healthy food environments, increase healthy eating and address overweight and obesity
Governance	Restricting commercial influence on policy development	USA: Mandatory and publicly accessible lobby registers – including extensive reporting of nature of lobbying activities	ALL: Political donations to electoral candidates need to be publicly disclosed, with varying threshold amounts, and lodgement periods
	Transparency in the development of food and nutrition policies	Canada: Health Canada publishes a table of all correspondence, and all meetings with stakeholders with the intent to inform the development of policies, guidance or regulation related to healthy eating initiatives.	ACT/NSW/QLD/SA/TAS/VIC/WA: Most governments across Australia have open data policies, frameworks for information access, and policies and procedures that ensure transparency to varying degrees

Appendix: Examples of good practice

Domain	Policy area	International example of good practice (as identified by INFORMAS)	Leading State / Territory in Australia (as per assessments by Expert Panel as part of this initiative)
Governance (continued)	Assessing the potential health impacts of all policies	Slovenia: In 2003, conducted a national health impact assessment related to agricultural policy that included the analysis of Slovenian data for key health-related indicators	SA: In 2007, a <i>Health in All Policies</i> approach was implemented by the government, supported by central governance and accountability mechanisms, an overarching framework with a program of work across government and a commitment to work collaboratively across agencies
	Government workforce to support public health nutrition	Not available	NSW: In 2020, an estimated 70 FTE worked in roles to support healthy communities of which many programs had a healthy eating component (8.0 of the 70 FTE were in Food Policy)
	Independent health promotion agency	Thailand: The Thai Health Promotion Foundation (ThaiHealth) is an autonomous government agency established by the Health Promotion Foundation Act as a dedicated health promotion agency.	QLD/SA/VIC/WA: <i>Health and Wellbeing Queensland, Wellbeing SA, VicHealth</i> and <i>Healthway</i> are independent health promotion agencies
Monitoring & intelligence	Monitoring population nutrition intake	USA: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), conducted annually, provides detailed national information on health status, disease history and nutritional intake of adults and children	ACT/NSW/SA/VIC/WA: Annual data collected on dietary habits and key food group intakes of adult and children
	Monitoring population body weight	UK: National Child Measurement Program for children's BMI, assessing children ages 4-6 and 10-11	NSW: Routine monitoring of height and weight measures is conducted for all children (0-17 years) who attend a NSW Health facility. Regular surveys of self-reported BMI from adults and children.
	Evaluation of major nutrition-related programs and policies	USA: The National Institutes for Health (NIH) provides dedicated funding for research that evaluates new policies/ programs expected to influence obesity related behaviours	NSW: Evaluations of large and significant NSW Government programs conducted. Guidelines and Toolkits provide guidance and resources to undertake program evaluations
	Research funding for obesity and NCD prevention	Ireland: The Food Institutional Research Measure (FIRM) is the primary national funding mechanism for food research. Beneficiaries are required to widely disseminate the results of their research	NSW/WA: Several funding programs that support public health nutrition including investments in research assets, priority research centres, competitive funding schemes, collaborative research (e.g., The Australian Prevention Partnership Centre), core funding for research organisations and commissioned research and evaluation
Support for communities	Coordinated support for community-based interventions	Australia: Previous National Partnership Agreement on Preventive Health (now defunct) provided State and Territory level support for initiatives aimed at obesity and NCD prevention	VIC: Multiple targeted strategies, policies and initiatives at state and local level designed to create healthier food environments (schools, childcare centres, workplaces, food outlets, sporting clubs, businesses and local government)
	Social marketing campaigns	Multiple international examples	WA: Funds several obesity and NCD prevention social marketing campaigns, online and community programs, including <i>LiveLighter</i> ® that has now been adopted in multiple states / territories
	Food and nutrition in education curricula	UK: National framework for core food competency skills and knowledge in children ages 5-16 years	ACT/NSW/QLD/TAS/WA: Ongoing support and provision of resources to educators for the inclusion of food and nutrition in school curricula

